



**UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE NUEVO LEÓN**  
**FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA MECÁNICA Y ELÉCTRICA**  
**TIPO DE EXAMEN Y/O EVALUACIÓN:**  
**FINAL ORDINARIO (*Final Exam*)**

**MATERIA/UNIDAD DE APRENDIZAJE:** Temas Selectos de Optimización

**LEARNING UNIT:** *Selected Topics on Optimization* (in English)

**SEMESTER:** January – June 2024 (Spring)

**ACADEMY:** *Statistics and Operations Research* (Estadística e Investigación de Operaciones).

**INSTRUCTOR:** Dr. Roger Z. Ríos Mercado (ID 090969)

**DIRECTIONS.-** Answer the following questions and/or exercises in the answer sheet. Do not write in this sheet

**SECTION 1: QUESTIONS (40 POINTS)**

Answer and justify your answer.

1. [UT2: *Heuristics*; 5 pts] Explain when it is appropriate to use heuristics for solving a combinatorial optimization problem.
2. [UT1: *Combinatorial optimization*; 5 pts] When do we say a combinatorial optimization problem is “hard” to solve? Elaborate.
3. [UT1: *Combinatorial optimization*; 5 pts] Are there “easy” combinatorial optimization problems? Justify your answer.
4. [UT2: *Constructive heuristics*; 5 pts] Do constructive heuristics guarantee to find a feasible solution to a given combinatorial optimization problem? Justify your answer.
5. [UT2: *Constructive heuristics*; 5 pts] Explain clearly what a construction heuristic is for a combinatorial optimization problem.
6. [UT2: *Local search heuristics*; 5 pts] Explain clearly what a local search heuristic is for a combinatorial optimization problem.
7. [UT2: *Local search heuristics for the TSP*; 5 pts] Describe in detail the 2-OPT heuristic for the Traveling Salesman Problem. You may additionally illustrate your idea with an example or drawing.
8. [UT2: *Heuristics*; 5 pts] Explain in detail how would you compare two different heuristics for a given combinatorial optimization problem, that is, how would you determine which one is better.

## SECTION 2: PROBLEMS (60 POINTS)

9. The Clustering Problem (CP) is defined as follows. Given a set  $n$  objects  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , a number of clusters  $p$ , and a dissimilarity or “distance” measure  $d_{ij}$  between each pair of objects  $i, j \in V$ , which can also be represented by a matrix  $D = (d_{ij})$ , we must find a  $p$ -partition or  $p$  clusters (subsets of  $V$ ) such that the objects within each cluster are the most similar possible according to this dissimilarity measure. For instance, if a given feasible solution is given by  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)$ , where each  $X_k$  represents a subset of objects from  $V$ , then the objective function, or dissimilarity, is given by

$$f(X) = \sum_{k=1}^p d(X_k), \text{ where the dissimilarity of each subset is measured as } d(X_k) = \sum_{i,j \in X_k} d_{ij}, \text{ that is,}$$

the sum of all the dissimilarity or distance values among all the elements in that subset. It is understood that if a subset is comprised of a single object, then its dissimilarity is zero. Recall that a  $p$ -partition  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)$  is valid or feasible if and only if the union of all subsets is equal to  $V$  and each element of  $V$  belongs to only one subset  $X_k$ . As an example, Figure 1 shows a dissimilarity or distance matrix for a set of 10 objects. Figure 2 shows how the dissimilarity function is computed for a given subset.

The following questions refer to the CP instance depicted in Figure 1, assuming  $p = 3$ .

- (a) [UT1: Combinatorial optimization; 6 pts] Is  $X^{(1)} = (\{3,6,8\}, \{1,4,9\}, \{2,5,10\})$  a feasible solution? Justify your answer.
- (b) [UT1: Combinatorial optimization; 6 pts] Is  $X^{(2)} = (\{2,4,6,8,10\}, \{1,5,9\}, \{3,7\})$  a feasible solution? Justify your answer.
- (c) [UT1: Combinatorial optimization; 6 pts] Among the following three solutions, sort them from best to worst. Justify your answer.  
 $X^{(3)} = (\{1,5,9\}, \{2,4,6,8,10\}, \{3,7\})$ ,  
 $X^{(4)} = (\{2,5,9\}, \{1,3,4,8\}, \{6,10\})$ ,  
 $X^{(5)} = (\{1,3,7,8\}, \{2,5,10\}, \{4,6,9\})$ .
- (d) [UT2: Constructive heuristics; 14 pts] Starting from scratch, design a constructive heuristic for finding a feasible solution to the CP with  $n$  objects and  $p$  clusters. Show very clearly and precision each step of your heuristic either in pseudocode or flow chart.
- (e) [UT2: Constructive heuristics; 8 pts] Illustrate how your heuristic works by applying it step by step in the example (Figure 1) to build a feasible solution to the problem. Was this solution better than solution  $X^{(3)}$  from (c)?
- (f) [UT2: Local search heuristics; 12 pts] Given a feasible solution to the CP, design a local search heuristic for the problem. It suffices with describing **very clearly** how you define your move/neighborhood.
- (g) [UT2: Local search heuristics; 8 pts] Illustrate how your local search works starting from the following feasible solution  $X^{(3)} = (\{2,4,6,8,10\}, \{1,5,9\}, \{3,7\})$ . You may do just one **complete** iteration by Best Found strategy. Did the solution improve?

