

Review

Metaheuristic Algorithms Applied to Bioenergy Supply Chain Problems: Theory, Review, Challenges, and Future

Krystel K. Castillo-Villar

Department of Mechanical Engineering, the University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA; E-Mail: Krystel.Castillo@utsa.edu; Tel.: +1-210-458-8746

External Editor: Thomas E. Amidon

Received: 18 August 2014; in revised form: 11 November 2014 / Accepted: 11 November 2014 /

Published: 19 November 2014

Abstract: Bioenergy is a new source of energy that accounts for a substantial portion of the renewable energy production in many countries. The production of bioenergy is expected to increase due to its unique advantages, such as no harmful emissions and abundance. Supply-related problems are the main obstacles precluding the increase of use of biomass (which is bulky and has low energy density) to produce bioenergy. To overcome this challenge, large-scale optimization models are needed to be solved to enable decision makers to plan, design, and manage bioenergy supply chains. Therefore, the use of effective optimization approaches is of great importance. The traditional mathematical methods (such as linear, integer, and mixed-integer programming) frequently fail to find optimal solutions for non-convex and/or large-scale models whereas metaheuristics are efficient approaches for finding near-optimal solutions that use less computational resources. This paper presents a comprehensive review by studying and analyzing the application of metaheuristics to solve bioenergy supply chain models as well as the exclusive challenges of the mathematical problems applied in the bioenergy supply chain field. The reviewed metaheuristics include: (1) population approaches, such as ant colony optimization (ACO), the genetic algorithm (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO), and bee colony algorithm (BCA); and (2) trajectory approaches, such as the tabu search (TS) and simulated annealing (SA). Based on the outcomes of this literature review, the integrated design and planning of bioenergy supply chains problem has been solved primarily by implementing the GA. The production process optimization was addressed primarily by using both the GA and PSO. The supply chain network design problem was treated by utilizing the GA and ACO. The truck and task scheduling problem was solved using the SA and the TS, where the trajectory-based methods proved to outperform the population-based methods.

Acknowledgments

Financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture/National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Award Number: 2014-38422-22088) and the University of Texas at San Antonio, Office of the Vice President for Research thought the Grant for Research Advancement and Transformation is gratefully acknowledged. The helpful comments of the UTSA/MSA lab members, editor, and anonymous referees are also acknowledged.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Biomass Feedstocks. Available online: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/biomass/biomass_feedstocks.html (accessed on 28 October 2014).
2. Berndes, G.; Hoogwijk, M.; van den Broek, R. The contribution of biomass in the future global energy supply: A review of 17 studies. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2003**, *25*, 1–28.
3. Jäger-Waldau, A.; Ossenbrink, H. Progress of electricity from biomass, wind and photovoltaics in the European Union. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2004**, *8*, 157–182.
4. Yamamoto, H.; Fujino, J.; Yamaji, K. Evaluation of bioenergy potential with a multi-regional global-land-use-and-energy model. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2001**, *21*, 185–203.
5. Rentizelas, A.A.; Tsiopoulou, I.P.; Tolis, A. An optimization model for multi-biomass tri-generation energy supply. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2009**, *33*, 223–233.
6. Olivares-Benitez, E.; Ríos-Mercado, R.Z.; González-Velarde, J.L. A metaheuristic algorithm to solve the selection of transportation channels in supply chain design. *Int. J. Produc. Econ.* **2013**, *145*, 161–172.
7. Hà, M.H.; Bostel, N.; Langevin, A.; Rousseau, L.-M. An exact algorithm and a metaheuristic for the generalized vehicle routing problem with flexible fleet size. *Comput. Oper. Res.* **2014**, *43*, 9–19.
8. Liefoghe, A.; Verel, S.; Hao, J.K. A hybrid metaheuristic for multiobjective unconstrained binary quadratic programming. *Appl. Soft Comput.* **2014**, *16*, 10–19.
9. Devika, K.; Jafarian, A.; Nourbakhsh, V. Designing a sustainable closed-loop supply chain network based on triple bottom line approach: A comparison of metaheuristics hybridization techniques. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.* **2014**, *235*, 594–615.
10. Baños, R.; Manzano-Agugliaro, F.; Montoya, F.G.; Gil, C.; Alcayde, A.; Gómez, J. Optimization methods applied to renewable and sustainable energy: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2011**, *15*, 1753–1766.
11. Kurka, T.; Blackwood, D. Selection of MCA methods to support decision making for renewable energy developments. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2013**, *27*, 225–233.
12. De Meyer, A.; Cattrysse, D.; Rasinmäki, J.; van Orshoven, J. Methods to optimise the design and management of biomass-for-bioenergy supply chains: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2014**, *31*, 657–670.